**REMARKS** 

The Office Action dated 03/09/2005 has been fully considered by the Applicant. Applicant

hereby respectfully requests the Examiner to reconsider the rejection based on the amendments and

remarks herein.

Claim 21 has been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1of United States Patent No. 6,631,159.

Claim 21 has been currently canceled.

Claims 22 and 23 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

Claims 22-23 are currently amended. Currently amended claim 22 is now an independent

claim having the elements of canceled claim 21 combined with claim 22. Currently amended claim

23 is an independent claim having the elements of canceled claim 21 and the limitations of old claim

23.

Applicant sincerely believes that the application is now in condition for allowance, which

is respectfully requested. If any further issues remain, a telephone conference with the Examiner is

requested. If any fees or charges are associated herewith please credit deposit Account No. 08-1500.

Respectfully submitted,

Head, Johnson & Kachigian

Mark G. Kachigian, Reg. No. 32 840

Head, Johnson & Kachigian

228 West 17th Place

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

(918) 587-2000

Attorneys for Applicant

Date: June 2, 2005

6